

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,763	10/30/2003	Heikki Heikkila	17195	9032	
23389	7590 03/25/2005		EXAMINER		
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300			KHARE,	KHARE, DEVESH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TY, NY 11530		1623		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/697,763	HEIKKILA ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Devesh Khare	1623				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat			 ddress			
Period fo							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to teply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe ation. ys, a reply within the statutory min y period will apply and will expire so by statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n .					
·		 ☐ This action is non-fina	al.				
3)	· _						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the appl	ication					
=	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-74 are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🗌 .	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🗆	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreian priority under 35	U.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
,-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents ha	ve been received in this National	l Stage			
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified co	pies not received.				
Attachment	• •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	/SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲	Other:				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 63-72 drawn to crystalline L-arabinose, classified in class 536, subclass various.
- II. Claims 73 and 74, drawn to use of the product of Group I in pharmaceuticals and foodstuffs, classified in classes 514 and 536, subclass various.
- III. Claims 1-62 drawn to a process for preparation of the product of Group I (arabinose), classified in class 536, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I to II are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product can be practiced with another materially different product i.e. use of the product of Group I in pharmaceuticals and foodstuffs, can be practiced with another materially different product such as pectic substances, see abstract (WO 99/10384).

Groups I to III are related as product and process of making. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for making the product can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

Art Unit: 1623

product as claimed can be made in a materially different process of making that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for making the product can be practiced with another materially different process i.e. a process for manufacturing the product of Group I (arabinose) can be practiced with another materially different process (see Schiwect et al. U.S. Patent 4,816,078).

Inventions II to III are unrelated to one another. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Group II is drawn to use of the product of Group I in pharmaceuticals and foodstuffs, which is unrelated to the process for preparation of the product of Group I, of Group III.

Although the inventions are classified in the same class and sub-class, searching the three groups of inventions constitutes a burdensome search, as a thorough search comprises a search or foreign patents and non-patent literature as well as the appropriate U.S. patent classifications. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. It is noted that examination of the three independent and distinct inventions would indeed impose an undue burden upon the examiner in charge of this application.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed Art Unit: 1623

(37 CFR 1.143). If applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims, which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined.

(MPEP § 821.04).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,763

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is (571) 272-0653.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at 571-272-0661. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D.,J.D. Art Unit 1623 March 11, 2005

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600